

## REMARKS

In the Official Action mailed on **22 August 2007**, the Examiner reviewed claims 1-6, 8-16, 18-26, and 28-30. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-13, 15, 16, 18-23, 25, 26, and 28 -30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based on Ellison et al. (USPN 6,487,547, hereinafter "Ellison"), and Ho (USPN 6,148,342, hereinafter "Ho"). Claims 4, 14 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based on Ellison, Ho, and Nilsen et al. (USPN 5,606,693, hereinafter "Nilsen").

### Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Examiner rejected claims 1-6, 8-16, 18-26, and 28-30 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), asserting that these claims are unpatentable over Ho in view of Ellison. Applicant respectfully disagrees, because neither Ellison, nor Ho, nor a combination of the two, teach the element of "determining if the user is an enterprise user" as disclosed in claim 1 of the Instant Application.

Specifically, Ho discloses:

*For optimal security, each of the subnetworks described will be under the control of a different administrator. Each administrator will not have control over computers outside of the respective subnetwork. By partitioning sensitive data and distributing storage and retrieval of sensitive data over different subnetworks of computers, the data will be protected from improper access by an individual administrator of a subnetwork. (Ho, Column 2, Lines 20-33)*

Ho discloses a system wherein access to resources is controlled by local administrators, and wherein only local administrators for each subnetwork control the resources for their corresponding subnetworks. The impetus for Ho is to restrict control and administration of resources to individual administrators overseeing local subnetworks to eliminate the risk of a global administrator having access to sensitive data from multiple subnetworks.

In contrast to Ho, embodiments of the present invention provide a system that "determines if the user is an enterprise user". (Instant Application, claim 1)

In these embodiments, the system will retrieve information for the user from a directory server once the user is determined to be an enterprise user. One skilled in the art of databases will appreciate that an “enterprise user” is a user that has a unique identity across an enterprise. Enterprise users connect to individual databases through a schema, and are assigned enterprise roles that determine their access privileges on these individual databases. The whole impetus for “enterprise users” is to globally manage users and remove the burden and cost of local administration.

Therefore, Ho fails to teach “determining if the user is an enterprise user”, because Ho teaches away from the use of “enterprise users” in favor of local subnetwork administration.

Hence, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claims 1, 11, and 21 are in condition for allowance. Applicant also submits that claims 2-6 and 8-10, which depend upon claim 1, claims 12-16 and 18-20, which depend upon claim 11, and claims 22-26 and 28-30 which depend upon claim 21, are for the same reasons in condition for allowance and for reasons of the unique combinations recited in such claims.

**CONCLUSION**

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By           /Gilbert Wong/            
Gilbert Wong  
Registration No. 57,990

Date: 23 November 2007

Gilbert Wong  
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP  
2820 Fifth Street  
Davis, CA 95618-7759  
Tel: (530) 204-4040  
Fax: (530) 759-1665  
Email: gilbert@parklegal.com